# WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

The Hon'bleMrs.UrmitaDatta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'bleMr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

#### Case No - MA-158 of 2018(OA-698 of 2018).

Om Prakash Sharma. <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal& Others.					
Serial No. and Date of order.1	Order of the Tribunalwith signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary			
01	For the Applicant : Mr.D. K. Mukherjee, Advocate.	3			
14-11-2018	For the State Respondents :Mr.R. A. Chowdhury, Advocate.				
	Affidavit of service is filed be kept on record.				
	The instant application has been filed basically praying for compassionate appointment due to the death of the mother of the applicant who died on 13-09-1999 and leaving behind 4 children. According to the applicant he made representation but not able to satisfy us when he made the said representation. However as per the applicant, his case was put before the 3 men's Inquiry Committee, which was constituted vide memo dated 11-09-2008 for verification of the genuinety and justification of the claim of 7 candidates wherein his name was against the				

	Om Prakash Sharma.
Form No.	

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. MA-158 of 2018(OA-698 of 2018).

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunalwith signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	item no. 6. According to the applicant, the 3	
	men's committee had recommended in favour of	
	him. However till date no appointment letter	
	has been issued. Being aggrieved with he has	
	filed the instant application. The applicant has	
	also filed one application for condonation of	
	delay wherein he has stated that due to	
	unintentional delay he could not file the instant	
	application within the prescribe time limit	
	under the Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985.	
	The Counsel for the respondent has	
	raised the preliminary objection on the ground	
	for inordinate delay as this is the case of	
	compassionate appointment and main cause of	
	action arose in the year 1999. However even if	
	it has to be accepted that his case was	
	considered by the Inquiry Committee in the	
	year 2008 even then he has filed the instant	
	application after 10 years and that too without	
	any cogent reason for such delay. Therefore he	
	has prayed for dismissal for both MA and OA.	
	nas prayeu ioi disinissai ioi botti wa and OA.	

г_	-	NIa	
ΕU	11116	No.	

Om	Pra	kash	Sha	rma
VIII	. 1 1 4	Kasii	OHA.	ı ma.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. MA-158 of 2018(OA-698 of 2018).

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunalwith signature	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of order.	2	of parties when necessary
1		3
	Heard both the parties and perused	
	the record. It is noted that the applicant's	
	mother died in the year 1999 but the applicant	
	does not enclose any application, where from it	
	can be ascertain that he had filed the	
	representation for compassionate appointment	
	before the authority. Even if, we accept that his	
	case was considered by the authority in the	
	year 2008 for the purpose of genuineness and	
	justification of his claim however in that case	
	no decision was communicated to him, since it	
	is a case of compassionate appointment and	
	not being the matter of right and he could have	
	approached this Tribunal much earlier.	
	However he has waited for 10 years and has	
	filed the instant application on 30th August,	
	2018. Moreover no cogent reason has been	
	shown in the application for condonation of	
	delay. Therefore the very purpose of the	
	compassionate appointment has become	
	frustrated as held by the Hon'ble Apex Court	
	due to lapse of long time.	

	Om Prakash Sharma.
Form No.	
	Vs

Case No. MA-158 of 2018(OA-698 of 2018).

The State of	West	Bengal	X	Others.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunalwith signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	In view of the above, we do not find	
	any reason to entertain MA for condonation of	
	delay. Therefore MA is rejected. Consequentially	
	the OA is also dismissed in view of the above	
	observation.	
	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN)	
Mihir	MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	